


Our "Leaders" Ceded National Sovereignty at 1992 "Earth Summit"

January 16, 2024



Left, The WEF wants to return humanity to the stone age. Technology is reserved for them.

Like the COVID hoax, climate change is a flimsy pretext to impose a globalist communist tyranny. At the Rio Earth Summit in 1992, almost 200 countries worldwide agreed to disenfranchise their citizens and join the climate change psy op designed to enslave, rob and cull humanity.

"The First Global Revolution: A Report to the Club of Rome (1991)," reads
"In searching for a new enemy to unite us, we came up with the idea that pollution, the threat of global warming, water shortages, famine, and the like would fit the bill. All these dangers are caused by human intervention, and it is only through changed attitudes and behavior that they can be overcome. The real enemy then, is humanity itself."

"A key achievement of the 1992 conference was the establishment of the United Nations Framework Convention on Climate Change (UNFCCC) established in part as an international environmental treaty to combat "dangerous human interference with the climate system" and to stabilize greenhouse gas concentrations in the atmosphere. It was signed by 154 states at the United Nations Conference on Environment and Development (UNCED). By 2022, the UNFCCC had 198 parties. Its supreme decision-making body, the Conference of the Parties (COP) meets annually to assess progress in dealing with climate change.

<https://altamontenterprise.com/09252019/elitists-have-created-myth-climate-change-eliminate-national-sovereignty>
(<https://altamontenterprise.com/09252019/elitists-have-created-myth-climate-change-eliminate-national-sovereignty>).

"A key achievement of the 1992 conference was the establishment of the United Nations Framework Convention on Climate Change (UNFCCC) established in part as an international environmental treaty to combat "dangerous human interference with the climate system" and to stabilize greenhouse gas concentrations in the atmosphere. It was signed by 154 states at the United Nations Conference on Environment and Development (UNCED). By 2022, the UNFCCC had 198 parties. Its supreme decision-making body, the Conference of the Parties (COP) meets annually to assess progress in dealing with climate change.

https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Earth_Summit
(https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Earth_Summit).

from Sept 6, 2023

What are the UN's Agenda 21 and ICLEI?

(<https://thenewamerican.com/us/environment/what-are-the-uns-agenda-21-and-iclei/>).

by Thomas R. Eddlem

(henrymakow.com)

The 1992 Earth Summit on climate change in Rio de Janeiro, organized by the United Nations, brought together the most extreme environmental activists from around the world to deal with the supposed threat of global warming, and Agenda 21 was the document they drew up.

What came out of the Rio Summit was summed up by the radical environmentalists themselves, and one United Nations-approved introduction to the Agenda 21 document claimed that:

Effective execution of Agenda 21 will require a profound reorientation of all human society, unlike anything the world has ever experienced -- a major shift in the priorities of both governments and individuals and an unprecedented redeployment of human and financial resources. This shift will demand that a concern for the environmental consequences of every human action be integrated into individual and collective decision-making at every level.

The document left no one alone, stating that: There are specific actions which are intended to be undertaken by ... in short, every person on Earth.



What if a small group of world leaders were to conclude that the principal risk to the Earth comes from the actions of the rich countries?... In order to save the planet, the group decides: Isn't the only hope for the planet that the industrialized civilizations collapse? Isn't it our responsibility to bring that about?

— Maurice Strong —

AZ QUOTES

What it means for Americans is more than just an end to fossil fuels; it means a lower standard of living.

The strategy for implementing Agenda 21 was much broader than ever attempted before by the environmentalist movement. They sought global treaties and national legislation, as in the past. They also sought to shame individuals and corporations into changing their behavior on a voluntary basis. That, too, was not new. But they began fighting for "soft-law" changes to consumers' living standards. "Soft law" is the use of centralized governments to bribe with aid either smaller government sub-units (states or localities) or private companies for following ever-more stringent eco-standards with tax breaks or outright cash "aid."

On the state and local level, the push for "soft law" is led by the International Council for Local Environmental Initiatives, or ICLEI, which had been founded a couple of years before the Rio Summit. More than 1,000 state, county, and municipal government organizations around the world are ICLEI members and are pushing this radical environmentalist agenda with bribes and stiffer regulations. In many American towns, local officials boast about the impact of ICLEI in the form of putting state rebate checks on display for properly following new environmentalist incentives. For example, John Birch Society New England Regional Director Hal Shurtleff was able to point out that:

"Here is what's disturbing. Here is a check, made out to the City of Newburyport from the Massachusetts Executive Office of Energy and Environmental Affairs. And why is this check on display? This is the second such check that I have found in a city or town that belongs to the ICLEI, the International Council on Local Environmental Initiatives, which is a government-to-government entity, unconstitutional on its face. Their goal is to implement Agenda 21, what they call "soft law" that came out of the Rio conference in 1992, very hostile to property rights, and freedoms.

ICLEI has become a big part of the subsidy and regulation regime on the state and local levels, but it's not the only part of the eco-subsidy agenda. That also extends to the White House, where President Obama has called for the government to start picking winners and losers in the markets for more energy-efficient products. President Obama boasted in his May 6, 2011, weekly address to the nation that he would continue to "invest" in green jobs.

This is part of the reason why huge corporations such as General Electric were able to claim a \$3.25 billion tax credit in 2010, paying no corporate

income taxes last year. GE cashed in on federal "tax credits" for green projects, such as its wind turbine projects.

Of course, nobody objects to private companies offering more fuel-efficient automobiles or creating products that don't fill up landfills. The problem with ICLEI and Agenda 21 is that they primarily seek governments to pick winners and losers in the marketplace. Governments usually pick the wrong winners. That was the lesson from the housing bubble of the last decade. The federal government promoted home ownership by subsidies, tax credits, and suppression of interest rates, and crashed the economy. The government doing the same thing on green jobs will do the same thing to the economy on a much larger scale.

Related - Jasper- [Global government under the UN would be this era's Black Death](https://thenewamerican.com/us/politics/a-plague-of-power/) (<https://thenewamerican.com/us/politics/a-plague-of-power/>).

First Comment from RH-

No human in their right mind would sign off on a statement saying: "The real enemy then, is humanity itself."

Who declares himself a real enemy to himself? We need to look at their actions, not words. What they mean is we are the enemy. It is their corporations that pollute, colonize territories to exploit labor (Niger, as one example), create shortages (famines and energy) and cause real or imaginary sicknesses. These things harm us, not so much them. They will not change this behaviour voluntarily.

"The federal government promoted home ownership by subsidies, tax credits, and suppression of interest rates, and crashed the economy."

My feeling is that the federal government was and still is carrying the water for the banks. Home loans are a form of usury to home borrowers. 30 year loans? A generation ago, 15 years was considered too long. Savings and Loans companies were too beneficial to home owners, so they had to be liquidated and they were in 1980s. Tax credits centralize housing ownership to syndicators and make us renters not owners. Banks were (and are) so greedy they speculated on home loans and that crashed the economy. But, the banks were bailed out while the home owner was foreclosed on.

Gerald Celente is saying we are "unbanking." We are withdrawing our money from the banks. He also says, when all else fails, they take us to war. This could be interesting, eh?

Comments for "Our "Leaders" Ceded National Sovereignty at 1992 "Earth Summit" "

Doug P said (September 7, 2023):

re "No human in their right mind would sign off on a statement saying: "The real enemy then, is humanity itself."

Surely RH is kidding when he says that. Our soldiers are so brainwashed and demoralized and perhaps even drugged that they would shoot their own mother if ordered to do that. Canadians have been known to have unique capacities in that respect. We have a small but very very mean army.

The doctors are nurses are not so stupid as to not know what vaccines are doing, so if they are willing to vaccinate a child, they would shoot their own mother for a paycheque or to stay on the gravy train. QED

In an age of gnosticism, anything you read in the Old Testament is possible.

Henry Makow received his Ph.D. in English Literature from the University of Toronto in 1982. He welcomes your comments at henry@henrymakow.com ([mailto:henry@henrymakow.com?subject=Email from henrymakow.com](mailto:henry@henrymakow.com?subject=Email%20from%20henrymakow.com)).

Henry Makow © 2024